

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 06100293 7



UNIVERSITY OF  
**TORONTO**  
FACULTY OF LAW

# Intellectual Property: Copyright, Trademark, and Patent 2009-2010

*Patent*

Professor Abraham Drassinower

Storage

KE  
2798.5  
.D73  
2009a  
[v.3]  
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

OCT - 6 2009


UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

1100 EAST 58TH STREET

**Intellectual Property:  
Copyright, Trademark, and Patent  
2009-2010**

*Patent*

Professor Abraham Drassinower



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

[https://archive.org/details/intellectualprop03dras\\_1](https://archive.org/details/intellectualprop03dras_1)

# Volume 3

## Patents

### Table of Contents

#### Presumption of Validity

<i>Diversified Products Corp. v. Tye-Sil Corp.</i> (1991), 35 C.P.R. (3d) 350 (F.C.A.).....	1
---	---

#### Novelty

<i>Reeves Brothers Inc. v. Toronto Quilting &amp; Embroidery Ltd.</i> (1978), 43 C.P.R. (2d) 145 (F.C.T.D.).....	4
<i>Diversified Products Corp. v. Tye-Sil Corp.</i> (1991), 35 C.P.R. (3d) 350 (F.C.A.).....	8
<i>Apotex Inc. v. Sanofi-Synthelabo Canada Inc.</i> , 2008 SCC 61 .....	15

#### Obviousness/Inventive Step

<i>Beecham Canada Ltd. et al. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> (1982), 61 C.P.R. (2d) 1 (F.C.A.).....	26
<i>Beloit Canada Ltd. et al. v. Valmet Oy</i> (1986), 8 C.P.R. (3d) 289 (F.C.A.) .....	27
<i>Diversified Products Corp. v. Tye-Sil Corp.</i> (1991), 35 C.P.R. (3d) 350 (F.C.A.).....	29
<i>Apotex Inc. v. Sanofi-Synthelabo Canada Inc.</i> , 2008 SCC 61 .....	38

#### Utility

<i>X v. Commissioner of Patents</i> (1981), 59 C.P.R. (2d) 7 (F.C.A.).....	52
<i>Minerals Separation North American Corp. v. Noranda Mines Ltd.</i> (1952), 15 C.P.R. 133 (J.C.P.C.).....	55
<i>Burton Parsons Chemicals, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Ltd.</i> , [1976] 1 S.C.R. 555 (S.C.C.).....	60
<i>Apotex Inc. v. Wellcome Foundation Ltd.</i> , [2002] 4 S.C.R. 153.....	67

#### Subject Matter

<i>Harvard College v. Canada (Commissioner of Patents)</i> , [2002] 4 S.C.R. 45 .....	89
---	----

#### Sufficiency of the Patent Specification

<i>Consolboard Inc. v. MacMillan Bloedel (Saskatchewan) Ltd.</i> , [1981] 1 S.C.R. 504 (S.C.C.) .....	146
<i>Beecham Canada Ltd. et al. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> (1982), 61 C.P.R. (2d) 1 (F.C.A.).....	157

#### Infringement

<i>Catnic Components Ltd. v. Hill and Smith Ltd.</i> , [1982] R.P.C. 183 (H.L.) .....	169
<i>Beecham Canada Ltd. et al. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> (1982), 61 C.P.R. (2d) 1 (F.C.A.).....	174
<i>O'Hara Manufacturing Ltd. v. Eli Lilly &amp; Co.</i> (1989), 26 C.P.R. (3d) 1 (F.C.A.).....	178
<i>Camco Inc. and General Electric Company v. Whirlpool Corporation and Inglis Ltd.</i> , [2000] 2 S.C.R. 1067, (S.C.C.).....	184

